Using Theater and Drama Interventions to Reduce Bullying in School Age Children
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**Summary of Research:**
Bullying is a pervasive problem for school age children that can carry serious implications for overall health and well-being (Beale, 2001). Bullying is characterized by a variety of behaviors that may lead to low self-esteem, increased anxiety, depression, lower academic achievement and social isolation in those who are victims (Attwood, 2004). Children who are victims of bullying are also at risk for chronic absenteeism, reduced academic performance, apprehension, loneliness, abandonment from peers, and even suicide (Beale, 2001).

Comprehensive anti-bullying campaigns are typically directed at all school age children and consider issues relevant for victims, bullies, and bystanders (Baer & Glasgow, 2008). As professionals have explored different ways to address bullying issues, Drama in Education (DIE) has emerged as an intervention linked to a variety of positive outcomes.

Rooted in social learning theory, drama activities aim to help students acquire new knowledge and skills by observing other people and events without directly engaging in the behaviors themselves (Beale, 2001). Children are encouraged to process emotions while problem solving strategies for dealing with bullying. School age children are often able to make connections with their own lives, share in anxieties, listen to others experiences, and articulate their opinions (Johnson, 2001).

Several different DIE approaches including process drama (Baer & Glasgow, 2008; Joronen, Häkämies & Åstedt-Kurki, 2011), psychoeducational drama (Beale, 2001; O’Toole & Burton, 2005) and forum theater (Fredland, 2010; Gourd & Gourd, 2011; Johnson, 2011) have been utilized in order to achieve increased understanding (Gourd & Gourd, 2011), increased empathy (Joronen, Häkämies & Åstedt-Kurki, 2011), new knowledge (Fredland, 2010) and a reduction in bullying incidents (Beale, 2001). Additionally, it is encouraging to note that transferability was evident following interventions since students reported they had incorporated knowledge and skills gained through drama activities into real life situations (O’Toole & Burton, 2005).

**Knowledge Translation Plan:**
Certified Therapeutic Recreation Specialists (CTRS’s) should consider drama activities as possible evidence-based interventions to address social functioning, peer relations, and conflict management in school age children. All these skills can be practiced during programs aimed at reducing bullying in this population. However, since drama activities can follow different formats, therapists should familiarize themselves with the specific dramatic approaches that have been linked to effective outcomes before developing programs and implementing sessions.

As depicted in Figure 1, process drama utilizes role play and problem solving activities that encourage the creation of imaginary, unscripted and spontaneous scenes. Participants are then asked to process the experience by making text to self and text to world connections (Baer & Glasgow, 2008). In psychoeducational drama programs, a dramatic performance is used to stimulate discussion around real-life situations (Beale, 2001), and forum theater uses an antagonist-protagonist approach to teach new ways of handling tough situations (Fredland, 2010). Universal to all approaches is the emphasis on the group leader’s role of facilitating discussion that focuses on content rather than performance. Specific techniques a CTRS might use include comparing and deconstructing scenes, pointing out specific aspects of different arguments, replaying scenes to view them from a different perspective, adapting the language, and highlighting assertive versus aggressive approaches (Fredland, 2010; Johnson, 2001).
Given the recommendation that drama interventions be part of a larger anti-bullying curriculum or campaign, CTRS’s should also look for ways to adopt a team approach and connect their services with those provided by other professionals (e.g. educators, social workers and school psychologists). Parents can also be engaged to reinforce concepts at home (Atwood, 2004).

**Figure 1: Theater and Drama Interventions to Reduce Bullying in School Age Children**

**Outcomes**
- Participants reported new knowledge
- Students thought their behavior would change.
- Increased understanding of bullying

**Forum Theater**
- Uses antagonist-protagonist approach
- Teaches new way of handling situations
- Helps students develop strategies to deal with bullying
- Role of leader is to facilitate discussion

**Drama in Education (DIE) to Address Bullying**
- Decrease in bullying incidents
- Parents and students indicated program was helpful
- Transferability of skills to real life

**Psychoeducational Drama**
- Performance is used to stimulate discussion among audience
- Student performers interact with audience
- Role of the leader is to facilitate discussion

**Process Drama**
- Students take on roles to solve problems
- Participants interact with the text and each other by taking on other perspectives
- Role of the leader is to facilitate discussion

**Outcomes**
- Promoted increased understanding
- Empowered students to realize their role in stopping bullying
- Increased empathy
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