

Spring 2013

Mon, 3:00 – 5:30 p.m, Gladfelter 413

PS 8301: Theories of International Relations

Prof. Mark A. Pollack
461 Gladfelter Hall
E-mail: mark.pollack@temple.edu
Office hours: Monday, 11 a.m. – Noon, 1:00 – 3:00 p.m.

This aim of this course is to introduce advanced graduate students to the most important theoretical approaches to the study of international relations, providing a gateway to substantive graduate courses in the subfields of international security, international political economy, international organization, and foreign policy. Given the emphasis of the course, we will spend a good deal of time reading and evaluating contending theories of international relations, examining the underlying assumptions of each theory as well as its substantive claims and (to a lesser extent) the evidence supporting those claims. We begin with a brief discussion of the history and of the field, before turning to a survey of the most influential theories of IR. As we shall see, the intellectual history of international relations as a discipline is itself contested among its participants, but by most accounts the “grand debate” between realists (and more recently neorealists) and liberals (and their offspring, neoliberal institutionalists) occupies a central place in the discipline, and much of the first part of the course will be devoted to analyzing this old and ongoing debate. As we shall see, however, rationalist approaches like realism and liberalism have come under increasing challenge from non-rationalist theories such as constructivism, and also from more radical approaches such as Marxism, feminism, and critical theory, each of which questions the assumptions and in some cases the ontology of both realist and liberal theories. We will conclude with some searching debate about the utility of “isms” in IR, the prospects for dialogue and synthesis among different theories, and the relevance of academic IR to burning policy questions. The schedule of seminars, then, is as follows:

- | | | |
|-----|-------------|---|
| 1. | January 28 | International Relations as a Field of Study |
| 2. | February 4 | Classical Realism and Its Liberal Critics |
| 3. | February 11 | Postwar Realism (and Neorealism) |
| 4. | February 18 | Neoliberal Institutionalism I: Foundations |
| 5. | March 4 | Neoliberal Institutionalism II: Recent Developments |
| 6. | March 18 | Liberalism I: Foundations |
| 7. | April 1 | Liberalism II: Recent Developments |
| 8. | April 8 | Constructivism I: Foundations |
| 9. | April 15 | Constructivism II: Recent Developments |
| 10. | April 22 | Marxism, Feminism and Critical Theory |
| 11. | April 29 | Realism Redux |
| 12. | May 6 | Competition, Eclecticism, or Synthesis? |

Please note that the course, like most graduate seminars, emphasizes theory and (to a lesser extent) method, and is *not* intended as an empirical introduction to the history of warfare, the workings of the world political economy or international organizations, or the making of foreign policy. At least a basic knowledge of empirics is, however, highly desirable, and you may therefore want to consult one of the various good textbooks for advanced undergraduates that mix a small dose of theory with an overview of important empirical topics. The best current textbook, in my view, is Joshua S. Goldstein and Jon Pevehouse's *International Relations* (New York: Longman, most recent edition), which provides long substantive discussions of topics in international security and international political economy.

Course Requirements. There are three fundamental requirements for students taking PS 8301:

1. Class participation. Students are expected to participate actively in seminar discussions, having read carefully and *thought about* the week's readings. (Note that waiting until the last minute to do the readings is not conducive to synthesis and reflection before class. Best to read early and let the ideas bounce around in your head a few days before seminar.) Participation should always be cordial and constructive, not gladiatorial, and chatting about the readings in cafes or the grad student lounge is encouraged. Participation will comprise 25% of the final grade.

2. Two short (approx. 6-10 page) papers, addressing specific topics arising out of the required readings for the course. Your paper need not tie together all of the readings for a given approach or question, which would be impossible, but should select one particular problem or puzzle that cuts across at least two of the readings, and analyze it in greater detail. Such a paper may, but need not, incorporate readings from outside the required readings for PS 8301, insofar as these are relevant to your chosen topic. Finally, make sure that your paper does not simply rip down what everyone else has written. Try to organize your critique in terms of a positive argument (which should be summarized in the first paragraph or two of the paper!), noting useful as well as poor work and suggesting ways in which future work (including possibly your own) might do better. The first paper is due no later than April 1st, and the second is due on May 6th, which is the final day of class. Each paper will be worth 25% of the total grade for the course, for a total of 50%.

3. A final take-home essay. At some point during their first two years in the M.A. and Ph.D. program, most students in this course will take the Political Science Department's preliminary examination in international relations. For this reason, the final requirement for the course will be a short take-home essay in response to questions similar to those asked on the Department's preliminary exam. Questions will be handed out in class on December 1st, and responses will be due back by 5:00 p.m. on May 13th. The take-home essay will be worth a total of 25% of the grade.

Required Reading. The following books, marked with an asterisk in the weekly reading assignments, constitute the core texts for the semester, and can be purchased, used or new, from a number of internet booksellers, including most obviously (but not only) Amazon.com:

- Martin Hollis and Steve Smith, *Explaining and Understanding International Relations* (New York: Oxford University Press, 1990).
- Thucydides, *The Peloponnesian War* (New York: Penguin edition).
- Michael Doyle, *Ways of War and Peace* (NY: Norton, 1997).
- Robert Keohane, ed., *Neorealism and Its Critics* (Columbia University Press, 1985).
- Robert Keohane, *After Hegemony: Power and Discord in International Politics* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1984).
- Alexander Wendt, *Social Theory of International Politics* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 1999).

All of these books are also on reserve at Paley Library, so you need not bankrupt yourself buying them all if that is a hardship. Additional *required* readings will be made available through electronic reserve. For the recommended readings, you're on your own.

Disability policy: Any student who has a need for accommodation based on the impact of a disability should contact me privately to discuss the specific situation as soon as possible. Contact Disability Resources and Services at 215-204-1280 or 100 Ritter Annex to coordinate reasonable accommodations for students with documented disabilities.

Academic Conduct: Temple University has adopted standards on academic conduct, and all students in PS 8301 are expected to comply fully with those standards, including with reference to the important issue of plagiarism. All students should, in all assignments, fully and unambiguously cite sources from which they are drawing important ideas and/or sizable quotations (for example, more than eight consecutive words or more than 50% of a given sentence or paragraph). Failure to do so constitutes plagiarism, which is a serious act of academic misconduct and will result in a failing grade for the course and notification of the infraction to the Dean of Students. Similarly, cheating during exams, copying written assignments from other students, or providing answers to others during exams are considered acts of academic misconduct. If you are unfamiliar with policies about plagiarism or other types of academic misconduct, you may wish to consult the on-line guide to "Quoting, Paraphrasing, and Acknowledging Sources," available at the Temple Writing Center (http://www.temple.edu/writingctr/student_resources/student_resources.htm) or if you still have remaining doubts or specific questions, raise them directly with me.

Temple University policy on the freedom to teach and learn:

Freedom to teach and freedom to learn are inseparable facets of academic freedom. The University has adopted a policy on Student and Faculty Academic Rights and Responsibilities (Policy # 03.70.02) which can be accessed through the following link: http://policies.temple.edu/getdoc.asp?policy_no=03.70.02.

SCHEDULE OF TOPICS AND READINGS

Week 1: International Relations as a Field of Inquiry (January 28)

Required Readings

* Hollis and Smith, *Explaining and Understanding International Relations*, pp. 1-92.

Brian Schmidt, "On the History and Historiography of International Relations," in *Handbook of International Relations*.

Bueno de Mesquita, Bruce. 1985. "Toward a Scientific Understanding of International Conflict: A Personal View," *International Studies Quarterly*, 29(2):121–36.

Robert Keohane, Steven Krasner, and Peter Katzenstein, "International Organization and the Study of World Politics," *International Organization*, Vol. 52, No. 4 (Autumn, 1998), pp. 645-685.

Ole Wæver, "The Sociology of a Not So International Discipline," *International Organization*, Vol. 52, No. 4 (Autumn, 1998), pp. 687-727.

Recommended Readings

Stanley Hoffmann, "International Relations: The Long Road to Theory," *World Politics*, Vol. 11 (1959), pp. 346-77.

Morton Kaplan, "The New Great Debate: Traditionalism Versus Science in International Relations," *World Politics*, Vol. 19 (1966), pp. 1-20.

J. David Singer, "The Behavioral Science Approach to International Relations: Payoffs and Prospects," in James Rosenau, ed., *International Politics and Foreign Policy* (New York: Free Press, 1969), pp. 65-69.

Hedley Bull, "The Theory of International Politics, 1919-1969," in James Der Derian, ed., *International Relations Theory: Critical Investigations* (New York, NYU Press, 1972), pp. 181-211.

Stanley Hoffmann, "An American Social Science: International Relations," *Daedalus*, Vol. 41 (1977), pp. 41-60.

Kal Holsti, *The Dividing Discipline: Hegemony and Diversity in International Theory* (Boston: Allen and Unwin, 1985).

William Olson and Nicholas Onuf, "The Growth of a Discipline: Reviewed," in Steve Smith, ed.,

International Relations: British and American Perspectives (Oxford: Basil Blackwell, 1985), pp. 1-28.

Waever, Ole, "The Rise and Fall of the Inter-Paradigm Debate," in S. Smith, K. Booth, and M. Zalewski, eds., *International Theory: Beyond Positivism* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 1986), pp. 149-85.

Yale Ferguson and Richard Mansbach, *The Elusive Quest: Theory and International Politics* (Columbia, S.C.: University of South Carolina Press, 1988).

J. L. Holzgrefe. "The Origins of Modern International Relations Theory," *Review of International Studies*, Vol. 15 (1989), pp. 11-26.

Donald J. Puchala, "Woe to the Orphans of the Scientific Revolution," *Journal of International Affairs*, Vol. 44 (1990), pp. 59-80.

Hayo Krombach, "International Relations as an Academic Discipline," *Millennium*, Vol. 21 (1992), pp. 243-262.

Kal Holsti, "International Relations at the End of the Millenium," *Review of International Studies*, Vol. 19, No. 4 (October 1993), pp. 401-408.

Steve Smith, "The Self-Images of Discipline: A Genealogy of International Relations Theory," in Ken Booth and Steve Smith, eds., *International Relations Theory Today*, (State College: Penn State Press, 1995), pp. 1-38.

Steve Smith. "Positivism and Beyond," in S. Smith, K. Booth, and M. Zalewski, *Beyond Positivism* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 1996).

Colin Wight, "Philosophy of Social Science and International Relations," in *Handbook of International Relations*.

Week 2: Classical Realism and its Liberal Critics (February 4)

Required Readings

* Thucydides, *The Peloponnesian War* (New York: Penguin, 1972), pp. 35-87, 212-223 (the Mytilenian Debate), and 400-40 (the Melian Dialogue).

Machiavelli, *The Prince*, dedicatory letter and chapters 14, 15, 17, 18.

* Michael W. Doyle, *Ways of War and Peace*, Part I on Realism: Introduction, chapters 1-3, and conclusion. Part II on Liberalism: Introduction, chapters 6-8, and conclusion.

Recommended

Daniel Garst, "Thucydides and Neorealism," *International Studies Quarterly*, Vol. 33 (1989), pp. 3-27.

Laurie M. Johnson Bagby, "The Use and Abuse of Thucydides," *International Organization*, Vol. 48, No 1 (Winter 1994), pp. 131-51.

Richard Ned Lebow, "Play it Again Pericles - Agents, Structures, and the Peloponnesian War," *European Journal of International Relations*, Vol. 2, No. 2, (June 1996), pp. 231-58.

Per Jansson, "Identity-Defining Practices in Thucydides' History of the Peloponnesian War," *European Journal of International Relations*, Vol. 3, No. 2, (June 1997), 147-16.

Arnold Wolfers and Laurence Martin, eds., *The Anglo-American Tradition in Foreign Affairs* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1956), selections from Hobbes, Locke, Mill, and Wilson.

Immanuel Kant, "To Perpetual Peace: A Philosophical Sketch," in Kant, *Perpetual Peace and Other Essays*, translated by Ted Humphrey (Indianapolis: Hackett Publishing Company, 1983), pp. 107-43.

Michael Williams, "Hobbes and International Relations: A Reconsideration," *International Organization*, Vol. 50, No. 2 (Spring 1996), pp. 213-37.

Andrew Wyatt-Walter, "Adam Smith and the Liberal Tradition in International Relations," *Review of International Studies*, Vol. 22, No. 1 (1996), pp. 5-29.

Andrew Hurrell, "Kant and the Kantian Paradigm in International Relations," *Review of International Studies*, Vol. 16, No. 3, (July 1990), pp. 183-205.

Week 3: Postwar Realism and Neorealism (February 11)

Required Readings

Hans J. Morgenthau (revised Kenneth W. Thompson) *Politics Among Nations: The Struggle for Power and Peace*, 4th ed. (New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1967), chapter 1.

Kenneth Waltz, *Man, the State, and War* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1959), introduction and conclusion (and read the rest of the book before prelims!).

* Kenneth Waltz et al., *Neorealism and its Critics*, chapters 1-5, 7.

Miles Kahler, "Inventing International Relations: International Relations Theory After 1945," in Michael Doyle and G. John Ikenberry, eds., *New Thinking in International Relations Theory* (Boulder: Westview Press, 1997).

Recommended Readings

E. H. Carr, *The Twenty Years' Crisis*, Introduction and chapters 5-8.

Michael J. Smith, *Realist Thought from Weber to Kissinger* (Baton Rouge: Louisiana State University Press, 1986).

Inis L. Claude, *Power and International Relations* (New York: Random House, 1962).

Charles Kindleberger, *The World in Depression, 1929-39* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1973).

Robert Jervis, "Cooperation Under the Security Dilemma," *World Politics*, Vol. 30, No. 2 (January 1978).

Robert Gilpin, *War and Change in World Politics* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 1981).

Michael Joseph Smith, *Realist Thought from Weber to Kissinger* (Baton Rouge: Louisiana State University Press, 1986).

Joseph Grieco, *Cooperation Among Nations: Europe, America, and Non-Tariff Barriers to Trade* (Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1990).

Helen Milner, "The Assumption of Anarchy in International Relations Theory," *Review of International Studies*, Vol. 17, No. 1 (January 1991), pp. 67-85.

Kenneth N. Waltz, "Realist Thought and Neorealist Theory," *Journal of International Affairs*, Vol. 44, No. 1 (Spring/Summer 1990), pp. 21-37.

Barry Buzan, Charles Jones, and Richard Little, *The Logic of Anarchy* (New York: Columbia University Press, 1993).

Friedrich Kratochwil, "The Embarrassment of Changes: Neo-Realism as the Science of Realpolitik Without Politics," *Review of International Studies*, Vol. 19, No. 1 (January 1993), pp. 63-80.

Paul Schroeder, "Historical Reality vs. Neo-realist Theory," *International Security*, Vol. 19, No. 1, (Summer 1994), pp. 108-148. See also Colin Elman and Miriam Fendius Elman, Paul Schroeder, (Exchange) "History v. Neorealism: A Second Look" in *International Security*, Vol. 20, No. 1 (Summer 1995), pp. 182-195.

Kenneth Waltz, "Structural Realism after the Cold War," *International Security*, Vol. 25 (2000), pp. 5-41.

Week 4: Neoliberal Institutionalism I: Foundations (February 18)

Required Readings

Stephen Krasner, "Structural Causes and Regime Consequences: Regimes as Intervening Variables," in S. Krasner, ed., *International Regimes* (Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1983), pp. 1-22.

* Robert Keohane, *After Hegemony: Power and Discord in International Politics* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1984), chapters 1, 4-6.

Andreas Hasenclaver, Peter Mayer, and Volker Rittberger, *Theories of International Regimes* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 1997), chapters 1-4.

Recommended Readings

Robert Keohane and Joseph Nye, *Power and Interdependence* (Boston: Little, Brown, 1977).

Stephen Krasner, ed., *International Regimes* (Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1983).

Robert Axelrod, *The Evolution of Cooperation* (New York: Basic Books, 1984).

Kenneth Oye, "Explaining Cooperation Under Anarchy: Hypotheses and Strategies," in K. Oye, ed., *Cooperation Under Anarchy* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1985), pp. 1-24

Robert O. Keohane, "Reciprocity in International Relations," *International Organization*, Vol. 40 (1986), pp. 1-27.

Robert Axelrod, "An Evolutionary Approach to Norms," *American Political Science Review*, Vol. 80 (1986), pp. 1095-1112.

Robert Jervis, "Realism, Game Theory, and Cooperation," *World Politics*, Vol. 40 (1988), pp. 317-50.

Robert O. Keohane, "Neoliberal Institutionalism: A Perspective on World Politics," in his *International Institutions and State Power* (Boulder: Westview Press, 1989), pp. 1-20.

Oran Young, *International Cooperation* (Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1989).

Arthur Stein, *Why Nations Cooperate* (Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1990).

Stephen D. Krasner, "Global Communications and National Power: Life on the Pareto Frontier," *World Politics*, Vol. 43 (1991), pp. 336-66.

Joseph M. Grieco, "Anarchy and the Limits of Cooperation: A Realist Critique of the Newest

Liberal Institutionalism,” *International Organization*, Vol. 42 (1988), pp. 485-508.

Robert Powell, “The Problem of Absolute and Relative Gains in International Relations Theory,” *American Political Science Review*, Vol. 85 (1991), pp. 1303-20.

Duncan Snidal, “International Cooperation Among Relative Gains Maximizers,” *International Studies Quarterly*, Vol. 35, No. 4, (December 1991), pp. 387-402.

Helen Milner, “International Theories of Cooperation Among Nations: Strengths and Weaknesses,” *World Politics*, Vol. 44, No. 3, (April 1992), pp. 466-96.

Lisa L. Martin, *Coercive Cooperation: Explaining Multilateral Economic Sanctions* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1993).

Various contributors, forum on the relative gains debate, *American Political Science Review*, Vol. 87 (September 1993).

David A. Baldwin, *Neorealism and Neoliberalism: The Contemporary Debate* (New York: Columbia University Press, 1993).

John Gerard Ruggie, ed., *Multilateralism Matters: Theory and Praxis of an Institutional Form* (New York: Columbia University Press, 1993), esp. chapters by Caporaso and Martin.

Emerson Niou and Peter Ordeshook, “Less Filling, Tastes Great: The Realist/Neoliberal Debate,” *World Politics*, Vol. 46 (1994), pp. 209-34.

John J. Mearshiemer, “The False Promise of International Institutions,” *International Security*, Vol. 19, No. 3 (Winter 1994/95), pp. 5-49.

Robert Keohane and Lisa Martin, “The Promise of Institutional Theory,” *International Security*, Vol. 20, No. 1 (Summer 1995), pp. 39-51.

Joseph S. Nye, Jr., “Neorealism and Neoliberalism,” *World Politics*, Vol. 40, No. 2 (January 1998), pp. 235-251.

Week 5: Neoliberalism II: Recent Developments Institutional Theory (March 4)

Required Readings

Lisa Martin and Beth Simmons, "Theories and Empirical Studies of International Institutions," *International Organization*, Vol. 52, No. 4 (Autumn, 1998), pp. 729-757.

David A. Lake and Robert Powell, "International Relations: A Strategic-Choice Approach," in Lake, and Powell, eds., *Strategic Choice and International Relations* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1999), pp. 3-38.

Abbott, Kenneth. Robert Keohane, Andrew Moravcsik, Anne-Marie Slaughter, and Duncan Snidal, "The Concept of Legalization," *International Organization*, Vol. 54, No. 3 (2000), pp. 401-419.

Koremenos, Barbara. Charles Lipson, Duncan Snidal. 2001. "The Rational Design of International Institutions." *International Organization* 55 (4), pp. 761-799.

Darren Hawkins, David A. Lake, Daniel Nielsen and Michael J. Tierney, eds., *Delegation and Agency in International Organizations* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 2006), introduction by the editors, pp. 1-38.

Paul Pierson, "Increasing Returns, Path Dependence, and the Study of Politics," *American Political Science Review*, Vol. 94 (2000), pp. 251-68.

Orfeo Fioretos, "Historical Institutionalism in International Relations," *International Organization*, 65 (2), 2011: 367-399.

Recommended Readings

Helen V. Milner, "Rationalizing Politics: The Emerging Synthesis of International, American and Comparative Politics," *International Organization*, Vol. 52, No. 4 (Autumn, 1998), pp. 759-786.

Donald Green and Ian Shapiro, *The Pathologies of Rational Choice Theory: A Critique of Applications in Political Science* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1994).

Jeffrey Friedman, ed., *The Rational Choice Controversy: Economic Models of Politics Reconsidered* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1996).

Abram Chayes and Antonia Handler Chayes, "On Compliance," *International Organization*, Vol. 47, No. 2 (Spring 1993), pp.175-206.

George Downs, David Rocke, and Peter Barsoom, "Is the Good News About Compliance Good News About Cooperation?" *International Organization*, Vol. 50, No. 3 (Summer 1996), pp. 379-

406.

Mark A. Pollack, "Delegation, Agency and Agenda Setting in the European Community," *International Organization*, Vol. 51, No. 1.

Robert O. Keohane and Lisa L. Martin, "Institutional Theory as a Research Paradigm," in Colin Elman and Miriam Elman, eds., *Progress in International Relations Theory* (Cambridge: MIT Press, 2003), pp. 71-108.

Miles Kahler, "Rationality in International Relations," in Katzenstein et al., eds., *Exploration and Contestation in the Study of World Politics*, pp. 279-302.

Duncan Snidal, "Rational Choice and International Relations," in *Handbook of International Relations*.

Stephen Walt, "Rigor or Rigor Mortis?" and responses, in *International Security*, Vol. 24, No. 2 (Fall 1999), pp. 56-130 [a useful review and debate of rational-choice applications in security studies].

Celeste Wallander, "Institutional Assets and Adaptability," *International Organization*, Vol. 54, No. 4 (Autumn 2000), pp. 705-36.

Robert Keohane, "Governance in a Partially Globalized World," *American Political Science Review*, Vol. 95, No. 1 (March 2001), pp. 1-15.

G. John Ikenberry, *After Victory: Institutions, Strategic Restraint, and the Rebuilding of Order after Major Wars* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2001).

Liliana Botcheva and Lisa Martin, "Institutional Effects on State Behavior: Convergence and Divergence," *International Studies Quarterly*, Vol. 45, No. 1 (March 2001), pp. 1-26.

Week 6: Liberalism I: Domestic and International Politics (March 18)

Required Readings

* Reread Doyle, *Ways of War and Peace*, Section II, chapter 4, on the democratic peace (and see the citations below for the subsequent debate).

Peter Alexis Gourevitch, "The Second Image Reversed: The International Sources of Domestic Politics," *International Organization*, Vol. 32, No. 4 (Autumn 1978), pp. 881-912.

Robert D. Putnam, "Diplomacy and Domestic Politics: The Logic of Two-Level Games," *International Organization*, Vol. 42 (1988), pp. 427-60.

Ronald Rogowski, "Why Changing Exposure to Trade Should Affect Political Cleavages," in *Commerce and Coalitions: How Trade Affects Domestic Political Alignments* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1989), chapter 1, pp. 3-20.

Jeffrey A. Frieden, "Invested Interests: The Politics of National Economic Policies in a World of Global Finance," *International Organization*, Vol. 45, No. 4 (1991), pp. 425-51.

David A. Lake, "International Political Economy," in Barry R. Weingast and Donald Wittman, eds., *The Oxford Handbook of Political Economy* (New York: Oxford University Press, 2008), pp. 757-777.

Orfeo Fioretos, "The Domestic Sources of Multilateral Preferences: Varieties of Capitalism in the European Community," in Peter Hall and David Soskice, eds., *Varieties of Capitalism* (New York: Oxford University Press, 2001), pp. 213-44.

Recommended Readings

The English School

Hedley Bull, *The Anarchical Society: A Study of Order in World Politics* (Second edition, Columbia University Press, 1995), introduction and chapters 1-3.

Richard Little, "The English School's Contribution to the Study of International Relations," *European Journal of International Relations*, Vol. 6, No. 3 (September 2001), pp. 395-422.

Stanley Hoffmann, "International Society," in J. D. B. Miller and R. J. Vincent, eds., *Order and Violence: Hedley Bull and International Relations* (New York: Oxford University Press, 1990), pp. 13-37.

Adam Watson, *The Evolution of International Society* (Boston: Routledge, 1992).

Ole Waever, "International Society: Theoretical Promises Unfulfilled?" *Cooperation and*

Conflict, Vol. 27 (1992), pp. 97-128.

Barry Buzan, "From International System to International Society: Structural Realism and Regime Theory Meet the English School," *International Organization*, Vol. 47, No. 3 (Summer 1993), pp. 327-352.

Richard Little, "Neorealism and English School: A Methodological, Ontological, and Theoretical Assessment," *European Journal of International Relations*, Vol. 1, No. 1 (1995), pp. 9-34.

Various Contributors, "Forum on the English School," *Review of International Studies*, Vol. 27, No. 3 (July 2001), pp. 465-519. Read the contributions by Watson, Buzan, Hurrell, Guzzini, Neumann, and Finnemore.

Tim Dunne, "The English School," in Christian Reus-Smit and Duncan Snidal, eds., *The Oxford Handbook of International Relations* (New York: Oxford University Press, 2008), pp. 267-285.

Contemporary Liberalism

Robert O. Keohane and Helen V. Milner, eds., *Internationalization and Domestic Politics* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1996), chapters 1-3, 10.

Helen V. Milner, 1997. *Interests, Institutions, and Information: Domestic Politics and International Relations* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1997), chapter 1 (pp. 3-29).

Lisa Martin, *Democratic Commitments: Legislatures and International Cooperation* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2000), chapter 1 (pp. 3-20).

Milner, Helen V., "Resisting the Protectionist Temptation: Industry and the Making of Trade Policy in France and the United States During the 1970s," *International Organization*, Vol. 41, 639-66.

Geoffrey Garrett and Peter Lange, "Political Responses to Interdependence: What's Left for the Left?" *International Organization*, Vol. 45 (1991), pp. 539-64.

Geoffrey Garrett, *Partisan Politics in the Global Economy* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1998), chapters 1, 2, 4, 6.

Geoffrey Garrett, "Global Markets and National Politics: Collision Course or Virtuous Circle?" in Katzenstein et al., eds., *Exploration and Contestation in the Study of World Politics*, pp. 147-84.

Michael E. Brown, Sean M. Lynn-Jones, and Steven E. Miller, *Debating the Democratic Peace* (Cambridge: MIT Press, 1996). [An excellent reader, tracing the debate over the democratic peace from Doyle's 1983 article up to the time of publication; the single best place to start in cracking this literature.]

Week 7: Liberalism II: International Cooperation and Compliance (April 1)

Required Readings

Andrew Moravcsik, "Taking Preferences Seriously: A Liberal Theory of International Politics," *International Organization*, Vol. 51, No. 4 (Autumn 1997), 513-54.

Christian Reus-Smit, "The Strange Death of Liberal International Relations Theory," *European Journal of International Law*, Vol. 12, No. 3 (2001), pp. 573-93.

Mansfield, Edward, Helen Milner and Peter Rosendorff (2002). "Why Democracies Cooperate More: Electoral Control and International Trade Agreements," *International Organization*, Vol. 56, pp. 477-513.

Anne-Marie Slaughter Burley. "International Law and International Relations Theory: A Dual Agenda," *American Journal of International Law*, Vol. 87 (1993), pp. 205-39.

Andrew Moravcsik, "Liberal Theories of International Law," in Jeffrey L. Dunoff and Mark A. Pollack, eds. *International Law and International Relations: Insights from Interdisciplinary Scholarship* (New York: Cambridge University Press, January 2013), pp. 83-118.

Jana von Stein, "The Engines of Compliance," in Jeffrey L. Dunoff and Mark A. Pollack, eds. *International Law and International Relations: Insights from Interdisciplinary Scholarship* (New York: Cambridge University Press, January 2013), pp. 477-501.

Recommended Readings

Andrew Moravcsik, "The Liberal Paradigm in International Relations Theory: A Scientific Assessment," in Colin Elman and Miriam Fendius Elman, eds., *Progress in International Relations Theory: Metrics and Measures of Scientific Change* (Cambridge: MIT Press, 2003), pp. 159-204.

James L. Richardson, "Contending Liberalisms - Past and Present," *European Journal of International Relations*, Vol. 3, No. 1 (March 1997), pp. 5-34.

Judith Goldstein, "International Institutions and Domestic Politics: GATT, WTO, and the Liberalization of International Trade," in Anne O. Krueger, ed., *The WTO as an International Organization* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1998), pp. 133-52.

Suzanne Lohmann and Sharyn O'Halloran, "Divided Government and US Trade Policy: Theory and Evidence," *International Organization*, Vol. 48, No. 4 (1994), pp. 5959-632.

Jon C. Pevehouse, "Democracy from the Outside-In? Regional Organizations and the Transition to Democracy," *International Organization*, Vol. 56, No. 3 (Summer 2002), pp. 515-49.

Dai, X. (2005). "Why Comply? The Domestic Constituency Mechanism," *International Organization*, Vol. 59, No. 2, pp. 363–98.

Grieco, J., Gelpi, C., and Warren, T.C. (2009). "When Preferences and Commitments Collide: The Effect of Relative Partisan Shifts on International Treaty Compliance," *International Organization*, No. 63, pp. 341–55.

Week 8: Constructivism I: Foundations (April 8)

Required Readings

* Alexander Wendt, *A Social Theory of International Politics* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 1999), chapters 1, 3, 6, 8.

Ian Hurd, "Constructivism," in Christian Reus-Smit and Duncan Snidal, eds., *The Oxford Handbook of International Relations* (New York: Oxford University Press, 2008), pp. 298-316.

Recommended Readings

* Andreas Hasenclaver, Peter Mayer, and Volker Rittberger, *Theories of International Regimes* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 1997), chapter 5.

Friedrich Kratochwil and John Ruggie, "International Organization: A State of the Art on an Art of the State," *International Organization*, Vol. 40, No. 4 (1986), pp. 753-76.

Alexander Wendt, "The Agent-Structure Problem in International Relations," *International Organization*, Vol. 41, No. 3, (1987), pp. 335-70.

Robert O. Keohane, "International Institutions: Two Approaches," *International Studies Quarterly*, Vol. 32, No. 4 (December 1988), pp. 379-396.

David Dessler, "What's at Stake in the Agent-Structure Debate?" *International Organization*, Vol. 43 No. 3 (Summer 1989), pp. 441-473.

Nicholas Onuf, *World of Our Making: Rules and Rule in Social Theory and International Relations*, (University of South Carolina Press, 1989), pp. 33-95 and 127-159.

Alexander Wendt, "Anarchy is What States Make of It: The Social Construction of Power Politics," *International Organization*, Vol. 46, No. 2 (1992), pp. 391-425.

Judith Goldstein and Robert O. Keohane, *Ideas and Foreign Policy: Beliefs, Institutions, and Political Change* (New York: Cornell University Press, 1993).

Alexander Wendt, "Constructing International Politics," *International Security*, Vol. 20, No. 1, (Summer 1995), pp. 71-80.

Martha Finnemore, "International Organizations as Teachers of Norms: The United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization and Science Policy," *International Organization*, Vol. 47, No. 4 (Autumn 1993), pp. 565-97.

Audie Klotz, "Norms Reconstituting Interests," *International Organization* (Summer 1995), pp. 451-478.

Martha Finnemore, *National Interests in International Society* (Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1996).

Martha Finnemore, "Norms, Culture, and World Politics: Insights from Sociology's Institutionalism," *International Organization*, Vol. 50, No. 2 (Spring 1996), pp. 325-47.

Jeffrey Legro, "Culture and Preferences in the International Cooperation Two-Step," *American Political Science Review*, Vol. 90, No. 1 (March 1996), p. 118-37.

Albert Yee, "The Causal Effect of Ideas on Policies," *International Organization*, Vol. 50, No. 1 (Winter 1996), pp. 69-108.

Jeffrey Checkel, "The Constructivist Turn in International Relations Theory," *World Politics*, Vol. 50, No. 2 (January 1998).

Emanuel Adler, "Seizing the Middle Ground: Constructivism in World Politics," *European Journal of International Relations*, Vol. 3, No. 3 (September 1998), pp. 291-318.

Nicholas Onuf, "Constructivism: A Users' Manual," in Vendulka Kubalkova, Nicholas Onuf and Paul Kowert, eds., *International Relations in a Constructed World* (1998), pp. 58-78.

John Gerard Ruggie, "What Makes the World Hang Together? Neo-utilitarianism and the Social Constructivist Challenge," in Katzenstein, et al., *Exploration and Contestation in World Politics*.

David Dessler, "Constructivism within a Positivist Social Science," *Review of International Studies*, Vol. 25, No. 1, (January 1999), 123-38.

Stephan Guzzini, "A Reconstruction of Constructivism in International Relations," *European Journal of International Relations*, Vol. 6, No. 2 (June 2000), pp. 147-182.

Week 9: Constructivism II: Recent Developments, Empirical Applications (April 15)

Required Readings

Margaret Keck and Kathryn Sikkink, *Activists Beyond Borders* (Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1998), chapter 1.

Martha Finnemore and Kathryn Sikkink, "International Norm Dynamics and Political Change," *International Organization*, Vol. 52, No. 4 (Autumn, 1998), pp. 887-917.

Thomas Risse, "Let's Argue!': Communicative Action in International Relations," *International Organization*, Vol. 54, No. 1 (2000), pp. 1-40.

Alastair Iain Johnston, "Treating International Institutions as Social Environments," *International Studies Quarterly*, Vol. 45, No. 4 (December 2001), pp. 487-516.

International Institutions and Socialization in Europe, special issue of *International Organization*, Vol. 59 (Fall 2005), read introduction by Checkel, concluding chapter by Zürn and Checkel.

Peter Katzenstein, 1996. "Introduction: Alternative Perspectives on National Security," in Katzenstein ed., *The Culture of National Security: Norms and Identity in World Politics* (Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1996), pp. 1-32.

Rawi Abdelal, Mark Blyth, and Craig Parsons, "Introduction, Constructing the International Economy," in Abdelal, Blyth and Parsons, eds., *Constructing the International Economy* (Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 2010), pp. 1-20.

Recommended Readings

Peter Katzenstein, ed., *The Culture of National Security* (New York: Columbia University Press, 1996), chapters 1, 2, 4 and 11.

Thomas Risse-Kappen, ed., *Bringing Transnational Actors Back In* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 1995).

Richard Price, "Reversing the Gun Sights: Transnational Civil Society Targets Land Mines," *International Organization*, Vol. 52, No. 3 (Summer 1998), pp. 575-612.

Jeff Legro, "Which Norms Matter? Revisiting the Failure of Internationalism," *International Organization*, Vol. 51, No. 1 (Winter 1997), pp. 31-64.

Emanuel Adler and Michael Barnett, "Security Communities in Theory and Practice," introduction to Adler and Barnett, eds., *Security Communities* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 1998).

Michael Barnett and Martha Finnemore, "The Politics, Power and Pathologies of International Organizations," *International Organization*, Vol. 53, No. 4 (Autumn 1999), pp. 699-3.

Audie Klotz, *Norms in International Relations: The Struggle Against Apartheid* (Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1999).

Risse, Thomas, Stephen Ropp, and Kathryn Sikkink, eds., *The Power of Human Rights: International Norms and Domestic Change* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2000).

Martha Finnemore and Stephen Toope, "Alternatives to 'Legalization': Richer Views of Law and Politics," *International Organization*, Vol. 55, No. 3 (Summer 2001), 743-58 [a constructivist response to the rationalist project on legalization in international politics; see above].

Alexander Wendt, "Driving with the Rearview Mirror," *International Organization*, Vol. 54, No. 3 (Autumn 2001) [a constructivist response to the rationalist project on rational design of international institutions].

Jeff Checkel, "Why Comply? Social Learning and European Identity Change," *International Organization*, Vol. 55, No. 3 (Summer 2001), pp. 553-88.

Jack Snyder, "Anarchy and Culture: Insights from the Anthropology of War," *International Organization*, Vol. 56, No. 1, (Winter 2002), pp. 7-40.

Martha Finnemore, *The Purpose of Intervention: Changing Beliefs About the Use of Force* (Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 2003).

Janice Gross Stein, "Psychological Explanations of International Conflict," in *Handbook of International Relations*, pp. 292-308.

Week 10: Marxism, Critical Theory, and Feminism (April 22)

Required Readings

Marxism

Benno Teschke, "Marxism," in Christian Reus-Smit and Duncan Snidal, eds., *The Oxford Handbook of International Relations* (New York: Oxford University Press, 2008), pp. 163-187.

Critical Theory

* Robert, W. Cox, "Social Forces, States, and World Orders: Beyond International Relations Theory," *Millennium*, Vol. 10, No. 2 (Summer 1981), pp. 126-155, reprinted in Robert O. Keohane, ed., *Neorealism and Its Critics*, pp. 204-54; skim for the main argument.

Richard Wyn Jones, ed., *Critical Theory and World Politics* (Boulder: Lynne Reinner, 2000), chapter 1 by Richard Wyn Jones; chapter 5 by Kimberly Hutchings (pp. 79-85 only!), and Chapter 13 by Alex Wendt.

Gender, Feminism and IR Theory

J. Ann Tickner, "Feminist Perspectives on International Relations," in *Handbook of International Relations*, pp. 275-291.

Sandra Whitworth, "Feminism," in Christian Reus-Smit and Duncan Snidal, eds., *The Oxford Handbook of International Relations* (New York: Oxford University Press, 2008), pp. 391-407.

Recommended Readings

Orthodox Marxism and Historical Materialism

* Michael Doyle, *Ways of War and Peace*, Part III, entire (pp. 315-80).

Robert C. Tucker, ed., *The Marx-Engels Reader* (New York: W.W. Norton & Company, 1978).

V.I. Lenin, *Imperialism: The Highest Stage of Capitalism* (reprint by Pluto Press, 1996).

Gramscian Approaches

Robert W. Cox, "Gramsci, Hegemony and International Relations: An Essay in Method," *Millennium*, Vol. 12, No. 2 (Summer 1983), pp. 162-175.

Stephen R. Gill and David Law, "Global Hegemony and the Structural Power of Capital," *International Studies Quarterly*, Vol. 33, No. 4 (December 1989), pp. 475-499.

Craig Murphy, *International Organization and Industrial Change* (New York: Oxford University Press, 1994).

Robert Cox, *Approaches to World Order* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 1996).

Randall German and Michael Kenny, "Engaging Gramsci: International Relations Theory and the New Gramscians," *Review of International Studies*, Vol. 24, No 1 (January 1998), pp. 3-23.

Stephen Gill, "Gramsci and Global Politics," in Gill, ed., *Gramsci, Historical Materialism, and International Relations* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 1993).

World-Systems Approaches

Immanuel Wallerstein, "The Interstate Structure of the Modern World-System," in Steve Smith, Ken Booth, and M. Zalewski, eds., *Beyond Positivism* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 1997).

Immanuel Wallerstein, "The Rise and Future Demise of the World Capitalist System: Concepts for Comparative Analysis," in Wallerstein, *The Capitalist World-Economy* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 1979), pp. 1-36.

Christopher Chase-Dunn, "Interstate System and Capitalist World Economy: One logic or Two?" *International Studies Quarterly*, Vol. 25, No. 1 (1981), pp. 19-42.

Immanuel Wallerstein, *The Modern World System III: The Second Era of Great Expansion of the Capitalist World Economy, 1730s-1840s* (Academic Press, 1989).

Critical IR Theory

* Richard K. Ashley, "The Poverty of Neorealism," in Robert O. Keohane, ed., *Neorealism and Its Critics*, pp. 255-300.

Andrew Linklater, "Realism, Marxism and Critical International Theory," *Review of International Studies*, Vol. 12, No. 4 (October 1986), pp. 301-312.

Mark Hoffman, "Critical Theory and the Inter-Paradigm Debate," *Millennium*, Vol. 16, No. 2 (Summer 1987), pp. 231-249.

Yosef Lapid, "The Third Debate: On the Prospects of International Theory in a Post-positivist Era," *International Studies Quarterly*, Vol. 33, No. 3 (September 1989), pp. 235-254; plus the responses by K. J. Holsti, Thomas Biersteker, and Jim George, pp. 255-279.

Richard Price, Christian Reus-Smit, "Dangerous Liaisons? Critical International Theory and Constructivism," *European Journal of International Relations*, Vol. 4, No. 3 (September 1998), pp. 259-94.

Jim George, *Discourses of Global Politics: A Critical (Re)Introduction to International Relations* (Boulder: Lynne Rienner, 1994), chapter 1 (pp. 1-34).

Feminist IR Theory

Cynthia Enloe, *Bananas, Beaches and Bases: Making Feminist Sense of International Relations* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1989).

J. Ann Tickner, *Gender in International Relations: Feminist Perspectives on Achieving Global Security* (New York: Columbia University Press, 1992).

Christine Sylvester, *Feminist Theory and International Relations in a Postmodern Era* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 1994).

Christine Sylvester, "The Contributions of Feminist Theory to International Relations," in S. Smith, K. Booth, and M. Zaleski, eds., *International Theory: Beyond Positivism* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 1996), pp. 254-79.

Craig Murphy, "Seeing Women, Recognizing Gender, and Recasting International Relations," *International Organization*, Vol. 50, No. 3 (Summer 1996), pp. 513-38.

Rosemary Putnam Tong, *Feminist Thought: A More Comprehensive Introduction*, 2nd edition (Boulder: Westview Press, 1998).

Fred Halliday, "Gender and IR: Progress, Backlash, and Prospect," *Millennium*, Vol. 27, No. 4 (1998), pp. 833-46.

Robert O. Keohane, "International Relations Theory: Contributions of a Feminist Standpoint," *Millennium*, Vol. 18, No. 2 (Summer 1989), pp. 245-253.

Cynthia Weber, "Good Girls, Little Girls, and Bad Girls: Male Paranoia in Robert Keohane's Critique of Feminist International Relations," *Millennium*, Vol. 23, No. 2 (Summer 1994), 337-49.

J. Ann Tickner, "You Just Don't Understand: Troubled Engagements Between Feminists and IR Theorists," *International Studies Quarterly*, Vol. 41, No. 4 (December 1997), pp. 611-32.

Robert Keohane, Marianne Marchand, and J. Ann Tickner, "Dialogue," *International Studies Quarterly*, Vol. 42, No 1 (March 1998), pp. 193-210.

Francis Fukuyama, "Women and the Evolution of World Politics," *Foreign Affairs*, Vol. 77, No. 5 (1998), pp. 24-40.

J. Ann Tickner, "Why Women Can't Run the World: International Politics According to Francis

Fukuyama,” *International Studies Review*, Vol. 1, No. 3 (1999), pp. 3-11.

V. Spike Peterson and Anne Sisson Runyan, *Global Gender Issues in the New Millennium*, 3rd edition (Boulder: Westview Press, 2009).

Emilie Hafner-Burton and Mark A. Pollack, “Mainstreaming Gender in Global Governance,” *European Journal of International Relations*, Vol. 8, No. 3 (September 2000), pp. 339-373.

Jacqui True and Michael Mintrom., “Transnational Networks and Policy Diffusion: The Case of Gender Mainstreaming,” *International Studies Quarterly*, Vol. 45, No. 1 (March 2001), pp. 27-58.

J. Ann Tickner, *Gendering World Politics: Issues and Approaches in the Post-Cold War Era* (New York: Columbia University Press, 2001).

Christine Sylvester, *Feminist International Relations: An Unfinished Journey* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 2001).

Birgit Locher and Elisabeth Prugl, “Feminism and Constructivism: Worlds Apart or Sharing the Middle Ground?” *International Studies Quarterly*, Vol. 45, No. 1 (March 2001), pp. 111-30.

Joshua Goldstein, *War and Gender* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 2001), chapters 1 and 7 (pp. 1-58 [skim empirical sections], 403-414).

Elisabeth Prugl, “Gender and War: Causes, Constructions, and Critique,” part of a symposium on Goldstein’s book in *Perspectives on Politics*, Vol. 1, No. 2 (June 2003), pp. 335-342.

Week 11: Realism Redux (April 29)

Required Readings

Stephen Walt, *The Origins of Alliances* (Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1987), chapter 1.

Jack Snyder, *Myths of Empire: Domestic Politics and International Ambition* (Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1991), chapter 1.

Randall Schweller, "Neorealism's Status-Quo Bias: What Security Dilemma?" in B. Frankel, ed., *Realism: Restatements and Renewal*, (Portland: Frank Cass, 1996), pp. 90-121.

Stephen Brooks, "Dueling Realisms," *International Organization*, Vol. 51, No 3 (Summer 1997), pp. 445-79.

Gideon Rose, "Neoclassical Realism and Theories of Foreign Policy," *World Politics*, Vol. 51, No. 1 (1998), pp. 144-72.

John Mearsheimer, *The Tragedy of Great Power Politics* (New York: Norton, 2001). Chaps. 1-2, 5.

John Vasquez, "The Realist Paradigm and Degenerative versus Progressive Research Programs: An Appraisal of Neotraditional Research on Waltz's Balancing Proposition," *American Political Science Review*, Vol. 91, No. 4 (December 1997), pp. 899-913. With responses by Kenneth Waltz, Colin and Miriam Elman, Randall Schweller, and Stephen Walt.

Jeff Legro and Andrew Moravcsik, "Is Anybody Still a Realist?" *International Security*, Vol. 24, No. 2 (Fall 1999), pp. 5-55.

Peter D. Feaver, Gunther Hellman, Randall L. Schweller, Jeffrey W. Taliaferro, William C. Wohlforth, Jeffrey W. Legro, and Andrew Moravcsik, "Correspondence: Brother, Can You Spare a Paradigm?" *International Security*, Vol. 25, No. 1 (Summer 2000), pp. 165-193.

Recommended Readings

Robert Jervis, *Perception and Misperception in International Politics* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1976).

Richard Ned Lebow, "The Long Peace, the End of the Cold War, and the Failure of Realism," *International Organization*, Vol. 48, No. 2 (Spring 1994), pp. 249-277.

Barry Buzan, *People, States, and Fear* (Boulder: Lynne Rienner, 1994).

William C. Wohlforth, "Realism and the End of the Cold War," *International Security*, Vol. 19, No. 3 (Winter 1994-95), pp. 91-129.

Charles Glaser, "Realists as Optimists," *International Security*, Vol. 19, No. 3 (Winter 1994-95), pp. 50-90.

Jack S. Levy, "Prospect Theory, Rational Choice, and International Relations," *International Studies Quarterly*, Vol. 41, No. 1 (March 1997), pp. 87-112.

Thomas Christenson, "Perceptions and Alliances in Europe, 1865-1940," *International Organization*, Vol. 51, No. 1 (Winter 1997), pp. 65-98.

Ethan Kapstein, "Is Realism Dead? The Domestic Sources of International Politics," *International Organization*, Vol. 49, No. 4 (Autumn 1995), pp. 251-274.

Stephen Walt, *Revolution and War* (Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1996).

Randall Schweller and David Priess, "A Tale of Two Realisms: The Institutions Debate," *Mershon International Studies Review*, Vol. 41, No. 1, (May 1997), pp. 1-32.

Ronald Spegele, *Political Realism in International Theory* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 1997).

Colin Elman, "Why Not Neorealist Theories of Foreign Policy?" *Security Studies*, Vol. 6, No. 1 (1997); see also reply by Kenneth Waltz.

Fareed Zakaria, *From Wealth to Power: The Unusual Origins of America's World Role* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1998).

William Wohlforth, "Reality Check: Revising Theories of International Politics in Response to the End of the Cold War," *World Politics*, Vol. 50, No. 4, (July 1998), pp. 650-79.

Lloyd Gruber, *Ruling the World: Power Politics and the Rise of Supranational Institutions* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2000), chapter 1.

G. John Ikenberry, *After Victory: Institutions, Strategic Restraint, and the Rebuilding of Order After Major Wars* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2000).

Robert Jervis, "Realism in the Study of World Politics," in P. Katzenstein, ed., *Exploration and Contestation in World Politics*, 331-52.

* Hollis and Smith. *Explaining and Understanding International Relations*, pp. 92-118.

Week 12: Competition, Eclecticism, or Synthesis? (May 6)

James Fearon and Alexander Wendt, "Rationalism vs. Constructivism: A Skeptical View," in *Handbook of International Relations*.

James Caporaso, Jeffrey Checkel and Joseph Jupille, "Integration Institutions: Rationalism, Constructivism and the Study of the European Union: Introduction," *Comparative Political Studies*, Vol. 36, Nos. 1-2 (February/March 2003).

Katzenstein, Peter, and Rudra Sil (2008). "Eclectic Theorizing in the Study and Practice of International Relations," in Christian Reus-Smit and Duncan Snidal, eds., *The Oxford Handbook of International Relations* (New York: Oxford University Press), pp. 110-130.

David A. Lake, "Why 'isms' are Evil: Theory, Epistemology, and Academic Sects as Impediments to Understanding and Progress," *International Studies Quarterly*, Vol. 55, No. 2 (2011) pp. 465-480.

Rudra Sil and Peter J. Katzenstein, "De-Centering, Not Discarding, the 'Isms': Some Friendly Amendments," *International Studies Quarterly*, Vol. 55, No. 2 (2011) pp. 481-485.

Henry R. Nau, "No Alternatives to 'Isms'," *International Studies Quarterly*, Vol. 55, No. 2 (2011) pp. 487-91.

Barry Buzan and Richard Little, "Why International Relations has Failed as an Intellectual Project and What to do About It," *Millennium*, Vol. 30 (2001), pp. 19-40.

Stephen M. Walt, "The Relationship Between Theory and Policy in International Relations," *Annual Review of Political Science*, Vol. 8 (2005), pp. 23-48.

Daniel Maliniak, Amy Oakes, Susan Peterson, and Michael J. Tierney, "International Relations in the US Academy," *International Studies Quarterly*, Vol. 55, No. 2 (2011), pp. 1-28.

Recommended Readings

Richard Hermann, "Linking Theory and Evidence in International Relations," in *Handbook of International Relations*.